Spin polaron in the J_1 - J_2 **Heisenberg model**

I. J. Hamad,¹ A. E. Trumper,¹ A. E. Feiguin,² and L. O. Manuel¹

1 *Instituto de Física Rosario (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Boulevard 27 de Febrero 210 Bis, 2000 Rosario,*

Argentina

²*Microsoft Research, Station Q, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

and Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 20742–4111, USA

Received 29 October 2007; published 9 January 2008; corrected 25 September 2008-

We have studied the validity of the spin-polaron picture in the frustrated J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model. For this purpose, we have computed the hole spectral functions for the Néel, collinear, and disordered phases of this model by means of the self-consistent Born approximation and Lanczos exact diagonalization on finite-size clusters. We have found that the spin-polaron quasiparticle excitation is always well defined for the magnetically ordered Néel and collinear phases, even in the vicinity of the magnetic quantum critical points, where the local magnetization vanishes. As a general feature, the effect of frustration is to increase the amplitude of the multimagnon states that build up the spin-polaron wave function, leading to the reduction of the quasiparticle coherence. Based on Lanczos results, we discuss the validity of the spin-polaron picture in the disordered phase.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.77.014410](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.014410)

PACS number(s): 75.50.Ee, 71.10.Fd

I. INTRODUCTION

The antiferromagnetic (AF) J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg Hamiltonian with competing interactions to first and second neighbors on the square lattice represents a prototypical model for the study of quantum phase transitions in low dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnets. The zero point quantum fluctuations, inherent to the AF Heisenberg model, can be enhanced by magnetic frustration, leading to an interesting ground state phase diagram which, after many years of investigations, has been quite well established, $1-5$ and it is depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) As the frustration J_2 / J_1 increases, the local magnetization of the Néel state is reduced, persisting until the quantum critical point at about $J_2 / J_1 \sim 0.4$ ⁵

On the other hand, for J_2 larger than J_1 , the quantum collinear state—neighboring spins aligned ferromagnetically along the *x* direction and antiferromagnetically along the *y* direction, or vice versa—is stabilized by an *order from disorder* phenomenon.^{6,[7](#page-7-3)} On this side of the diagram, a decrease of J_2 / J_1 implies an increase of frustration, and the zero point quantum fluctuations reduce the local magnetization of the collinear state which vanishes at the quantum critical point $J_2 / J_1 \sim 0.6$. In the intermediate region $0.4 \le J_2 / J_1 \le 0.6$, quantum fluctuations are strong enough to destroy the magnetization, and the nature of the ground state is still under debate,⁸ although the most sophisticated numerical method indicates that the plaquette resonance valence bound (RVB) would be the possible ground state.⁵ While the experimental realization of the quantum Néel order is widely found in many transition metal oxides, it was only recently that the quasi-two-dimensional (quasi-2D) vanadium oxide $Li₂VOSiO₄$ was found as the first experimental realization of the J_1 - J_2 model, being located at the collinear side of the phase diagram[.9](#page-7-5)

A little explored issue in the literature¹⁰ is the dynamics of holes injected in the magnetic ground states of the J_1-J_2 model. Since the hole strongly couples with the magnetic excitations, dictated by the different symmetries of the magnetic ground states, a strong dependence of the one-particle properties with frustration is expected. Hence, it is interesting to investigate whether the conventional quasiparticle excitations, $\frac{11}{1}$ the spin-polaron picture, is valid or not in magnetically frustrated states.

In the present paper, we present a systematic study of the dependence of the one-hole spectral functions with frustration for the whole range of parameters. Using the selfconsistent Born approximation (SCBA) and exact diagonalization, our main objective is to investigate the validity of the spin-polaron picture when the quantum critical points are approached from the Néel and the collinear sides of the phase diagram. In previous investigations, we have already studied the hole motion in the triangular¹² and canted¹³ antiferromagnets. We have shown that the noncollinearity of the magnetic ground states, a 120° and tilted 180° Néel order, respectively, leads to two different mechanisms for hole motion—one magnon assisted and other free-like—which interfere in a nontrivial way, giving rise to the vanishing of the quasiparticle weight in some regions of the Brillouin zone (BZ) .^{[12](#page-7-8)[,13](#page-7-9)} In the present study, we have found that the spinpolaron picture, which seems to be the correct description for the unfrustrated Néel case, remains valid for the frustrated Néel and collinear phases, even in the vicinity of the mag-

FIG. 1. Schematic magnetic phase diagram of the J_1-J_2 model. The solid lines indicate the values of the local magnetizations.

netic critical points. As a general feature, the effect of frustration is to increase the contribution of multimagnon states in the spin-polaron wave function, leading to the reduction of the quasiparticle (QP) weight, which always remains finite. For the Néel case, the quasiparticle dispersion has always the same structure, with a ground state momentum at **k** $=(\pi/2,\pi/2)$, even when the number of magnons increases as the disordered phase is reached. On the other hand, for the collinear phase, the structure of QP dispersion changes notably with frustration due to the interplay between the magnon assisted and free-like mechanisms for hole motion, leading to the divergence of the QP effective mass for some momenta. In the disordered regime, we have performed an exact diagonalization study on finite-size clusters. Guided by the SCBA, the exact spectra can be interpreted as the result of the coupling of the hole with a superposition of Néel and collinear short range correlations. However, it is difficult to extrapolate this result in the disordered phase since it is believed that, in the thermodynamic limit, the nature of the low lying magnetic excitations above the candidate ground state⁵ and their eventual coupling with the hole could change dramatically.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the self-consistent Born approximation, and we compare its predictions with exact calculations on finite-size clusters. In Sec. III, we analyze the hole spectral functions obtained for the different ground states of the J_1-J_2 antiferromagnet. Finally, in Sec. IV, we state the conclusions and discuss the validity of the spin-polaron picture in the general context of frustrated antiferromagnets.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

We consider the t - J_1 - J_2 model to describe the motion of a hole in the frustrated J_1-J_2 antiferromagnet, which is written as

$$
H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} (\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle ik \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_k, \tag{1}
$$

where $\langle ij \rangle$ and $\langle ik \rangle$ stand for the summation over first and second neighbors on a *N* site square lattice, respectively. For simplicity, we consider only hopping terms *t* to first neighbors. The electronic operators are the projected ones, $\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}$ $=(1-n_{i-\sigma})c_{i\sigma}$, that obey the no double occupancy constraint. To compute the hole spectral function of the $t - J_1 - J_2$ model in the thermodynamic limit, we appeal to the spinless fermion representation and the self-consistent Born approximation[.14,](#page-7-10)[15](#page-7-11)

A. Self-consistent Born approximation

Within the SCBA scheme, the hole is represented by a spinless fermion h_i , which carries the charge degree of freedom, while the magnetic excitations are treated in the spinwave approximation (SWA) by means of the Holstein-Primakov bosons a_i . Since the SWA is valid only for magnetically ordered states, with magnons as their elementary excitations, we restrict the use of the SCBA to the Néel and collinear phases of the J_1 - J_2 antiferromagnet. Following a standard procedure, 12 we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for the hole motion,

$$
H_{eff} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} h_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}} (M_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} h_{\mathbf{q}} \alpha_{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}),
$$
\n(2)

where h_k is the Fourier transform of the spinless fermion operator and α_{q} are the Bogoliubov operators that diagonalize the Heisenberg part in the SWA.

The effective Hamiltonian comprises three terms: (i) The first is a free-like hopping term that takes into account the possibility of the hole to move without disturbing the underlying magnetic background. It is characterized by the hole dispersion

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\delta} t_{\delta} \cos \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}}{2} \cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta},
$$

where Σ_{δ} is the summation over neighbors δ of a given site, connected by the hopping term t_{δ} (first neighbors in our case). **Q** is the magnetic wave vector, (π, π) for the Néel phase, and $(\pi,0)$ or $(0,\pi)$ for the collinear phase. It should be noticed that a dispersive bare hole band will exist only for magnetic backgrounds with some noncollinear or ferromagnetic correlations between nearest neighbors, like in the collinear phases. Instead, in the Néel phase, $\varepsilon_k=0$, and this fact will affect considerably the structure of the hole spectral function. (ii) The second is a free magnon term, characterized by the dispersion in the SWA

$$
\omega_{\bf q} = \sqrt{(\gamma_{\bf q} - \Gamma)^2 - \xi_{\bf q}^2},
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta} J_{\delta} \cos^2 \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \delta}{2} \cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta, \quad \Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta} J_{\delta} \cos \mathbf{Q} \cdot \delta,
$$

and

$$
\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta} J_{\delta} \sin^2 \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \delta}{2} \cos \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta.
$$

(iii) The third is a hole-magnon interaction term, which incorporates the magnon-assisted mechanism for the hole motion, characterized by the hole-magnon vertex interaction

$$
M_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{N}} (\beta_{\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}}),
$$

where

$$
\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\delta} t_{\delta} \sin \frac{\mathbf{Q} \cdot \delta}{2} \sin \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta,
$$

and the Bogoliubov coefficients are

$$
u_{\mathbf{q}} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{q}} - \Gamma + \omega_{\mathbf{q}}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{q}}}},
$$

$$
v_{\mathbf{q}} = \text{sgn}(\xi_{\mathbf{q}}) \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{q}} - \Gamma - \omega_{\mathbf{q}}}{2\omega_{\mathbf{q}}}}.
$$

FIG. 2. Hole spectral functions $A_k(\omega)$ for the Néel state, $N=16$, $J_1/t=0.4$, $\mathbf{k}=(\pi/2,\pi/2)$, and two different values of the frustration, $J_2 / J_1 = 0.0$ (left) and $J_2 / J_1 = 0.4$ (right). The solid and dashed lines correspond to the Lanczos and SCBA results, respectively. The Lorentzian broadening for Lanczos curves is $\eta^+ = 0.1t$.

.

To study the hole dynamics, we compute the hole spectral function, that is, $A_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = -(1/\pi)\text{Im } G_{\mathbf{k}}^h(\omega)$, where $G_{\mathbf{k}}^h(\omega)$ $= \langle AF|h_{\mathbf{k}}[1/(\omega + i\eta^+ - H_{eff})]h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}|AF\rangle$ is the retarded hole Green function, and $\vert AF \rangle$ is the undoped magnetic ground state in the SWA. In the SCBA, the hole self-energy is given by the following self-consistent equation:

$$
\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{|M_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}}|^2}{\omega + i\,\eta^+ - \omega_{\mathbf{q}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} - \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}})}
$$

This equation has been numerically solved for square lattices with up to 3600 sites, with a linear mesh of 10 000 frequences, and $\eta^+ = 0.01t$. Once the hole self-energy is obtained, the QP spectral weight can be calculated as $z_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $-\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) / \partial \omega$ ⁻¹ $E_{\mathbf{k}}$, where the QP energy is given by the equation $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (E_{\mathbf{k}})$.

B. Exact and self-consistent Born approximation comparison

We benchmark the accuracy of the analytical SCBA by making a direct comparison of its predictions with Lanczos calculations performed in finite-size clusters. In Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) we show the Lanczos and SCBA hole spectral functions for a 4×4 lattice at the ground state momentum $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$. The left panel corresponds to the unfrustrated case, while the on right panel to the frustrated case, $J_2 / J_1 = 0.4$. It is well known in the literature $14,15$ $14,15$ that the remarkable agreement between SCBA and Lanczos results for the unfrustrated case, in the strong coupling regime. This has been ascribed to the vanishing of the first-order vertex corrections to the SCBA hole self-energy.¹⁵ From Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) it is evident that the good agreement remains valid in the frustrated case where, despite the increase of zero point quantum fluctuations, the SCBA reproduces the main features of the spectral function. We have found that SCBA yields quantitatively good results for all momenta in the BZ and in the strong coupling limit $(J_1 / t \le 1).$

The collinear phases break the Heisenberg Hamiltonian SU(2) spin-rotation symmetry, like in the Néel phase, and, in addition, the invariance under $\pi/2$ real space rotation.⁷ This discrete twofold degeneracy cannot be spontaneously broken

in Lanczos exact calculations on finite-size clusters, resulting in the equal presence of $(\pi,0)$ and $(0,\pi)$ correlations in the magnetic background. On the other hand, in SCBA this discrete degeneracy is broken from the onset, with the selection of one of the two possible magnetic wave vectors, $(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$. Therefore, one should not naively compare Lanczos and SCBA results, until the degeneracy is somehow broken in Lanczos calculations, selecting one of the collinear orders. To this end, we consider spatial anisotropy in the exchange interactions to first neighbors, that is, different values of J_1 along the *x* direction (J_{1x}) and the *y* direction (J_{1y}) . Clearly, if J_{1x} is larger than J_{1y} , $(\pi,0)$ correlations will be favored. In fact, from Table [I,](#page-2-1) it shows that even a slight anisotropy, $J_{1v}=0.99J_{1v}$, breaks the twofold degeneracy in the collinear regime and considerably favors $(\pi,0)$ correlations.

Figure [3](#page-3-0) displays the Lanczos and SCBA spectral functions, for $N=16$ sites, in the collinear regime $J_2 / J_1=0.7$. In the isotropic case and for $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$ (upper left panel), there is a strong disagreement between both curves; the exact spectral function exhibits a two-peak structure, but the SCBA function has only a pronounced peak at high energy. This disagreement can be understood if we consider that, in each method, the hole moves on a different magnetic background. While the $Q=(\pi,0)$ collinear phase has been chosen from the onset in the SCBA, in the Lanczos calculations $(\pi,0)$ and $(0,\pi)$ correlations are present on equal footing (see Table [I](#page-2-1)). With the introduction of a slight amount of

TABLE I. Exact structure factor S_q^z for the J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model, with $J_2 / J_1 = 0.7$, $N = 16$, and different values of the spatial anisotropy in the exchange interactions to first neighbors, $J_{1x} \equiv J_1$.

k	$J_{1y} = J_{1x}$	$J_{1y} = 0.99 J_{1x}$	$J_{1y} = 0.2 J_{1x}$
$(\pi,0)$	0.81590	1.24823	1.57717
$(0,\pi)$	0.81590	0.38094	0.18420
(π,π)	0.12557	0.13307	0.15190
$(\pi/2, \pi/2)$	0.16624	0.16635	0.16667
$(\pi, \pi/2)$	0.27813	0.35594	0.28422
$(\pi/2, \pi)$	0.27813	0.19768	0.16669

FIG. 3. Hole spectral function $A_k(\omega)$ for the collinear state, $N=16$, $J_1/t=0.4$, $J_2/J_1=0.7$, and momenta $(\pi,0)$ (upper panels) and $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ (lower panels). Left: $J_{1y} = J_{1x}$, middle: $J_{1y} = 0.99 J_{1x}$, right: $J_{1y} = 0.8 J_{1x}$; $J_{1x} = J_1$. The solid and dashed lines correspond to the Lanczos and SCBA results, respectively.

anisotropy, J_{1y} =0.99 J_{1x} , the agreement between both methods improves considerably (middle upper panel). The small anisotropy induces almost no changes in the SCBA because its magnetic background remains the same. In Lanczos calculations, instead, the anisotropy produces a noticeable qualitative change since now the $(\pi,0)$ correlations are largely favored over the $(0, \pi)$ ones (see Table [I](#page-2-1)), resembling the SCBA results. For larger anisotropy, $J_{1y}=0.8J_{1x}$ (upper right panel), the agreement between exact and SCBA spectral functions improves considerably because the exact magnetic background is closer to the $Q=(\pi,0)$ state assumed in the analytical calculations. On the other hand, for momenta along the BZ diagonal, like $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ shown in the lower panels of Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) the exact hole spectral functions do not depend on the anisotropy, always showing a good agreement with the SCBA spectra.

The performed comparison lends reliability to the SCBA predictions for both frustrated phases, Néel and collinear. In the next section, we present SCBA results for the hole dynamics in the thermodynamic limit.

III. RESULTS

A. Frustrated Néel case

In Fig. [4,](#page-3-1) we show the SCBA spectra for the unfrustrated case, $J_2/J_1=0$, along the momentum line $(\pi/2,\pi/2)$ $\rightarrow (\pi, \pi)$ and *J*₁/*t*=0.2. For this strong coupling regime, we observe a strong **k** dependence of the spectra due to the underlying magnetic Néel state. As a general feature, at low energy there is a spin-polaron QP excitation and, several resonances above it, the so called string excitations, whose

energies scale as $E_{string} \sim (J_1/t)^{2/3}$, for all momenta. This structure of the spectra has been widely confirmed by several numerical and analytical techniques.^{15–[17](#page-7-12)} While the OP excitation results from the coherent coupling of the hole motion with the AF spin fluctuations, the higher energy string excitations correspond to the hole motion inside a linear potential generated by the overturned spins. The existence of a coherent QP is assigned to the zero point quantum fluctuations that repair pairs of misaligned spins at a characteristic time $1/J_1$, while the hole overturns spins at a characteristic time 1/*t*. Although this polaron picture is valid for the weak coupling regime $J \geq t$, the very existence of the spin-polaron excitations in the strong coupling regime $t > J$ gives support to the idea that the coherence between hole motion and spin fluctuations is partially preserved.

The effect of frustration is shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-0) for J_2/J_1 $=0.3$. We observe a spectral weight reduction of both the

FIG. 4. Hole spectral function $A_k(\omega)$ for the unfrustrated $J_2 / J_1 = 0$ Néel state and $J_1 / t = 0.2$ along the momentum line $(\pi/2,\pi/2)\rightarrow (\pi,\pi).$

FIG. 5. Hole spectral function $A_{\bf k}(\omega)$ for the frustrated Néel state $J_2 / J_1 = 0.3$ and $J_1 / t = 0.2$ along the momentum line $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ $\rightarrow (\pi, \pi).$

spin polaron and the string excitations, although the QP weight of the spin polaron remains always finite for the whole BZ, even for the extreme frustrated case (see below). Furthermore, there is a redistribution of the spectral weight at low energies, which is related to the fact that the only mechanism for hole motion is magnon assisted. At the magnetic level, frustration induces a reduction of the magnon dispersion bandwidth, which can be associated with a reduced effective exchange *Jeff*. Therefore, the loss of QP coherence can be ascribed to an increase of the characteristic time of the spin fluctuations $1/J_{eff}$. In addition, it is also known that the spin stiffness is smaller for the frustrated case, making the Néel order less rigid. Such a softening of the magnetic correlations explains the weakening of the linear potential seen by the hole with the subsequent broadening of the string excitations. A similar effect of frustration on the QP excitation has been observed by Shibata *et al.*[10](#page-7-6) although their calculation, motivated by the description of the photoemission spectra of $Sr_2CuO_2Cl_2$, was performed on an extended *t*-*t'*-*t*"-*J* model.

The other issue we are concerned with is the validity of the spin-polaron picture near the magnetic quantum critical point $J_2 / J_1 \sim 0.4$.¹⁸ In Fig. [6,](#page-4-1) we show the behavior of the QP weight z_k , as a function of frustration J_2 / J_1 . It is observed as a monotonic decrease of the QP weight, which remains finite for all frustration and for the whole BZ. Since near the critical point the local magnetization is $m \sim 0$, the use of the spin-wave theory for the calculation of the QP weight can be questioned. In order to have reliable results around the critical point, we have implemented the SCBA with a modified spin-wave theory, 19 which only incorporates short range Néel correlations. In this approach, widely used in magnetic systems disordered by quantum or thermal fluctuations, a condition of zero magnetization is enforced by means of a Lagrange multiplier, and as a consequence, the magnon excitations become gapped. The resulting QP weight is shown on the right of the vertical line of Fig. [6.](#page-4-1) In particular, we confirmed that the SCBA spectra for long and short range correlations are practically the same which means that hole dynamics only depends on the short range magnetic correlations. To understand the behavior of the QP weight with frustration, it is instructive to write down the spin-polaron wave function as^{20}

FIG. 6. Quasiparticle weight of the spin polaron as a function of frustration J_2 / J_1 . On the right of the vertical line, a short range Néel state has been assumed in the calculation.

$$
|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^{(0)} h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} |AF\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} a_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}'}^{(1)} h_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}'}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}'}^{\dagger} |AF\rangle + \cdots, \qquad (3)
$$

where the first term represents a state with one hole and no magnons, the second term one hole with one magnon, and so on. The coefficients $a^{(n)}$ are the probability amplitude to have *n* magnons in the spin-polaron state. As the effect of frustration is to reduce the magnon dispersion bandwidth, it is easier for the hole to emit and absorb magnons. It is then obvious that from the renormalization condition $\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ $=1$, the increasing contribution of multimagnon states will be accompanied by the reduction of the QP weight $a_k^0 = z_k^{1/2}$. Alternatively, we can extend the approximated expression for the QP weight, derived by Kane *et al.*, [11](#page-7-7) to the frustrated case,

$$
z_{\mathbf{k}} \leqslant \left(1+\frac{t^2m^*\boldsymbol{z_{\mathbf{k}}}}{J_1\sqrt{1-2J_2/J_1}}\right)^{-1},
$$

where m^* is the spin-polaron effective mass, to make evident the reduction of the QP weight with increasing frustration.

Figure [7](#page-5-0) displays the spin-polaron dispersion for several values of frustration. It can be seen that the reduction of the QP bandwidth *W* is related to the reduction of the magnetic bandwidth $W_m = 2(J_2 - J_1)$ (see inset of Fig. [7](#page-5-0)). This is due to the fact that the only mechanism for hole motion in the Néel state is the magnon-assisted one. Another consequence is the unchanged structure of the QP dispersion with frustration, which is also in accordance with the spectral weight redistribution at low energies stated above. The unchanged structure of the QP dispersion as well as the reduction of the bandwidth and the QP weight are all consistent with the intuitive picture of considering the effect of frustration on the hole dynamics as a loss of QP coherence due to a reduced effective exchange J_{eff} .

B. Frustrated collinear case

As pointed out in Sec. II, the hole dynamics in the collinear phase is quite different from that in the Néel phase. The

FIG. 7. Quasiparticle energy dispersion along the momentum line $(0,0)$ – (π, π) – $(\pi, 0)$ – $(0,0)$, for several values of frustration in the Néel state. $J_2 / J_1 = 0$ (solid line), $J_2 / J_1 = 0.2$ (dotted line), and $J_2 / J_1 = 0.4$ (dashed line). Inset: bandwidth dispersion of the quasiparticle *W* (solid line) and magnetic W_m (dashed line) excitations as a function of frustration.

arrangement of ferromagnetic chains perpendicular to the AF chains leads to an effective Hamiltonian that involves the coexistence of the magnon-assisted and free-like processes for hole motion [see Eq. (2) (2) (2)]. The presence of such processes can be identified in the hole spectral functions. In Fig. [8,](#page-5-1) we show the SCBA prediction for the collinear phase **Q** $=(\pi,0)$ at $J_2/J_1=1$ and $J_1/t=0.4$ along the momentum line $(\pi/2, \pi) \rightarrow (\pi/2, 0)$. For this strong coupling regime, there is again a strong **k** dependence of the spectra due to the underlying magnetic collinear state. The low energy part is related to the spin-polaron picture where the hole is mainly coupled to the AF magnons, while at high energy there is a resonance in the spectra that is related to the hole motion along the ferromagnetic chains whose dispersion is of the tight binding form. Although the QP excitation is originated by the AF fluctuations, the string excitations practically disappear owing to the fact that the only retraceable paths gen-

FIG. 8. Hole spectral function $A_k(\omega)$ for the collinear state $Q=(\pi,0), J_2/J_1=1, \text{ and } J_1/t=0.2 \text{ along the momentum line}$ $(\pi/2,\pi)\rightarrow (\pi/2,0).$

FIG. 9. Hole spectral function $A_k(\omega)$ for the more frustrated $J_2 / J_1 = 0.6$ collinear state $Q = (\pi, 0)$ and $J_1 / t = 0.2$ along the momentum line $(\pi/2, \pi) \rightarrow (\pi/2, 0)$.

erating a linear potential are one dimensional in the collinear state. On the other hand, the 2D AF character of the Néel state increases noticeably the number of such retraceable paths, making the string excitations observable. Besides the reduction of the magnetic bandwidth, another effect of frustration in the collinear state is to tune the interference between both processes for hole motion, resulting in a spectral weight transfer from low to high energies. See, for instance, in Fig. [9,](#page-5-2) the merging shoulders at $\omega \sim 3t$ of the last three spectra, near $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, 0)$, for the more frustrated case $J_2 / J_1 = 0.6$. Although not easily discernible, the spin-polaron excitation is well defined. In particular, Fig. [10](#page-5-3) shows the monotonic reduction of the QP weight as the critical point $J_2 / J_1 \sim 0.51$ is approached.¹⁸ We again confirm that the spectra practically do not change when a short range collinear order with gapped excitations is assumed in the calculation near the critical point. Similar to the Néel case, the spinpolaron picture is valid for the collinear regime, being the QP weight always finite for the whole BZ. We again interpret the reduction of z_k as a consequence of the increasing contribution of multimagnon terms in the spin-polaron wave function of Eq. (3) (3) (3) . For increasing frustration—smaller J_2 / J_1 —there is a linear reduction of the QP dispersion band-

FIG. 10. Quasiparticle weight of the spin polaron as a function of frustration J_2/J_1 .

FIG. 11. Quasiparticle energy dispersion along the momentum line $(0,0)$ – (π, π) – $(\pi, 0)$ – $(0,0)$ for several values of frustration in the collinear state. $J_2 / J_1 = 2.5$ (solid line), $J_2 / J_1 = 2$ (dashed line), $J_2 / J_1 = 0.9$ (dotted line), and $J_2 / J_1 = 0.7$ (dash-dotted line). Inset: bandwidth dispersion of the quasiparticle *W* (solid line) and magnetic W_m (dashed line) excitations as a function of frustration.

width, which follows the magnetic one $W_m = 2J_2 + J_1$ (see the inset of Fig. [11](#page-6-0)), and a notable change of the structure of the QP dispersion (see Fig. [11](#page-6-0)). In particular, around $J_2 / J_1 \sim 2$, the QP minimum moves from (π, π) and $(0, \pi)$ to $(\pi/2, \pi)$, leading to the divergence of the effective mass for some momenta. This behavior is in contrast to that found in the Néel state, where the only effect of frustration was a redistribution of spectral weight at low energies. In the collinear state, instead, the spectral weight transfer is between the low and the high energy parts of the spectra which, at the microscopic level, can be ascribed to the subtle interference between the magnon-assisted and free-like processes for hole motion. It is by this mechanism, ultimately tuned by frustration, that the QP dispersion changes its structure. It is interesting to note that the same behavior—namely, the change on the structure of the QP dispersion along with the nonvanishing of QP weight in the vicinity of the quantum critical point—has been recently observed in the bilayer Heisenberg antiferromagnet, where the interlayer coupling J_{\perp} induces a quantum disordered phase transition.²¹

C. Disordered phase

As pointed out in the Introduction, the nature of the disordered magnetic ground state in the intermediate regime $0.4 \leq J_2 / J_1 \leq 0.6$ is still under debate, although there is numerical evidence of the presence of plaquette RVB correlations[.5](#page-7-1) While in the ordered regimes the spin-wave excitations are the proper magnetic degrees of freedom that couple with the hole, the lack of a correct analytical description of the magnetic excitations above the disordered phase does not allow one to envisage the proper effective Hamiltonian for the hole propagation. Then, the hole dynamics in the disordered phase is not analytically tractable within our scheme of calculation. Consequently, we resort to the Lanczos method to study the hole spectral functions on finite-size

FIG. 12. Exact hole spectral function $A_k(\omega)$ for a cluster of N $=$ 20 sites evaluated at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$ for the Néel (dotted line), collinear (dashed line), and disordered (solid line) regimes.

clusters. For these small systems, there is an important reduction of the disordered window relative to the thermodynamic limit. In particular, for *N*=20, the weakening of the Néel and the collinear correlations occurs in a narrow window around $J_2 / J_1 \sim 0.58$, with an enhancement of translational symmetry breaking correlations, such as columnar and plaquettelike. 22 In Fig. [12,](#page-6-1) we show the hole spectral functions for $N=20$ sites, momentum $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$, and three values of frustration ranging from the Néel $(J_2/J_1=0.57)$ to the collinear $(J_2 / J_1 = 0.6)$ across the disordered regime (J_2 / J_1) $=$ 0.58). We observe a noticeable spectral weight transfer from high to low energies despite the very small change in frustration, $\Delta J_2 = 0.03 J_1$. This behavior can be interpreted by recalling the different mechanisms for hole motion in the Néel and collinear regimes. The structure of the hole spectral function for $J_2 / J_1 = 0.57$ (0.6) closely resembles that of the magnetically ordered Néel (collinear) phase in the thermodynamic limit. Surprisingly, in the disordered regime, the hole spectral function (solid line in Fig. [12](#page-6-1)) seems to be the superposition of the Néel and collinear cases. In particular, as frustration increases, the position of the peaks remains unaltered while a spectral weight transfer takes place. Extra features in the hole spectral functions, which could indicate the coupling of the hole to translational symmetry breaking correlations, are not observed in the disordered phase. We have observed the same qualitative behavior of the hole spectral functions for *N*=16, although due to finite-size effects the intermediate windows are wider and slightly shifted to higher values of J_2 / J_1 .^{[22](#page-8-3)} It is worth noticing, however, that our results correspond to small cluster sizes, *N*=16 and 20; therefore, they cannot be naively extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit. Hence, the hole dynamics in the disordered phase of the J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model, and the validity of the spin-polaron picture, in particular, remains an open problem.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, we have studied the dynamics of a hole injected in the magnetic ground states of the frustrated J_1 - J_2

Heisenberg model. To accomplish this task, we have used the self-consistent Born approximation and exact diagonalization on finite clusters. Our main result is that the spin-polaron scenario remains valid whenever the ground state is magnetically ordered, even in the vicinity of the Néel and collinear quantum critical points. That is, there is always a well defined quasiparticle excitation associated with a hole dressed by magnons. Furthermore, the narrowing of the magnon dispersion, induced by frustration, leads to a loss of QP coherence due to the proliferation of multimagnon processes that build up the spin-polaron wave function.

At this point, it is interesting to discuss the physical scenario behind the spin-polaron picture in the general context of 2D antiferromagnets. The main idea is based on the dominant pole approximation 11 where the existence of a QP pole crucially depends on the density of states of the low lying energy excitations which can couple to the hole. For the simpler Néel case, there are few low lying spin excitations, and there is very little phase space available for the hole to scatter, so the low energy states of the hole can have a long lifetime. In this case the underlying microscopic process for hole motion is the magnon-assisted one. For the collinear state, the presence of ferromagnetic correlations induces free-like hole hopping processes that interfere with the magnon-assisted ones. However, the QP excitations are still well defined even near the magnetic critical point. For noncollinear cases, such as the triangular and canted antiferromagnets, we have recently shown that the effect of such interference can be dramatic. In particular, for the triangular AF with positive t , we have found¹² a vanishing of the QP excitation in an ample region of the Brillouin zone. For canted Néel phases, we were able to investigate such interference by continually tuning the canting angle, finding a critical value at which the OP excitations disappear.¹³ Therefore, from the present and previous studies, we argue that the collinearity of the Néel and the collinear phases are crucial for the existence of QP excitations. This idea is also supported by results on the honeycomb²³ and bilayer square lattices[.24](#page-8-5) One interesting question is the breakdown of the spin-polaron excitation and the possible realization of spincharge separation.²⁵ It was suggested that this scenario could take place in disordered magnetic states, although the lack of reliable methods to compute hole spectral functions in the thermodynamic limit makes this task quite difficult. Here, the nature of the low lying magnetic excitations is crucial. For gapped magnetic excitations, like in ladder²⁶ and checkerboard 27 systems, a coherent QP motion of an injected hole is expected, at least in part of the BZ. On the other hand, for the kagomé lattice there is a quite small triplet gap $\Delta \sim 0.05J$ and an exponentially large number of singlet excitations within such a triplet gap. 28 These unconventional low lying excitations may prevent the appearance of spinpolaron excitations, as recently observed in numerical investigations. 27 In the present work, we have numerically obtained the hole spectral functions for the disordered phase of the J_1 - J_2 model, for $N=16$ and 20 sites. We have found that these spectral functions resemble a superposition of the Néel and collinear cases, suggesting the existence of quasiparticle. Although our results cannot be naively extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit, we believe that if the ground state of the disordered regime is a plaquette RVB with triplet gapped excitations, the spin-polaron picture could still be valid.

From the experimental point of view, the quasi-2D vanadium oxide $Li₂VOSiO₄$ is considered a good realization of the J_1 - J_2 model, located at the collinear side of the diagram.⁹ Recent NMR experiments 29 revealed a very low spin dynamics, which has been interpreted as the coexistence of $(\pi,0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ magnetic domains of collinear phases in the regime T_N T *z* J_2 + J_1 . Beyond the theoretical interest in the validity of the spin-polaron picture, the interplay we have established between the microscopic mechanisms and the features of the spectral functions can help to interpret experimental studies.³⁰

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with A. L. Chernyshev. This work was supported by the ANPCYT under Grants PICT2004 No. 25724 and PICT2005 No. 38045. I.J.H. thanks Fundación J. Prats for partial support.

- ¹P. Chandra and B. Doucot, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 9335 (1988).
- 2H. J. Schulz, T. A. L. Ziman, and D. Poilblanc, J. Phys. I **6**, 675 $(1996).$
- ³A. E. Trumper, L. O. Manuel, C. J. Gazza, and H. A. Ceccatto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2216 (1997).
- ⁴ S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4558 (1998).
- ⁵L. Capriotti and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3173 (2000).
- ⁶ J. Villain, J. Phys. (Paris) 38, 26 (1977).
- 7P. Chandra, P. Coleman, and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 88 $(1990).$
- 8V. N. Kotov, J. Oitmaa, O. P. Sushkov, and Z. Weihong, Philos. Mag. B 80, 1483 (2000); L. Capriotti, F. Becca, A. Parola, and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. B 67, 212402 (2003).
- 9R. Melzi, P. Carretta, A. Lascialfari, M. Mambrini, M. Troyer, P. Millet, and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1318 (2000).
- 10Y. Shibata, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1840 $(1999).$
- 11C. L. Kane, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 6880 $(1989).$
- 12A. E. Trumper, C. J. Gazza, and L. O. Manuel, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 184407 (2004); Physica B 354, 252 (2004).
- ¹³ I. J. Hamad, L. O. Manuel, G. Martínez, and A. E. Trumper, Phys. Rev. B 74, 094417 (2006).
- ¹⁴ G. Martinez and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 317 (1991).
- ¹⁵Z. Liu and E. Manousakis, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 2425 (1992).
- ¹⁶E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 763 (1994).
- 17M. Brunner, F. F. Assaad, and A. Muramatsu, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 15480 (2000).
- 18There is some uncertainty about the exact location of the Néel and collinear quantum critical points. Numerical studies suggest

the values 0.4 and 0.6, respectively. On the other hand, the SWA yields the values 0.38 and 0.51, respectively.

- ¹⁹ M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 168 (1987); Phys. Rev. B **40**, 2494 (1989); J. H. Xu and C. S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 42, 6861 $(1990).$
- ²⁰ G. F. Reiter, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 1536 (1994).
- 2^{1} C. Brunger and F. F. Assaad, Phys. Rev. B 74 , 205107 (2006).
- ²² E. Dagotto and A. Moreo, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 4744 (1989).
- 23A. Luscher, A. Läuchli, W. Zheng, and O. P. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. B 73, 155118 (2006).
- ²⁴ M. Vojta and K. W. Becker, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 3099 (1998).
- ²⁵ P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 017001 (2006).
- 26M. Brunner, S. Capponi, F. F. Assaad, and A. Muramatsu, Phys. Rev. B 63, 180511(R) (2001).
- 27A. Läuchli and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 236404 $(2004).$
- 28C. Waldtmann, H.-U. Everts, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, P. Sindzingre, P. Lecheminant, and L. Pierre, Eur. Phys. J. B **2**, 501 $(1998).$
- 29P. Carretta, R. Melzi, N. Papinutto, and P. Millet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 047601 (2002).
- ³⁰ I. J. Hamad, A. E. Trumper, and L. O. Manuel, Physica B **398**, 416 (2007).